

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.usptc.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/26/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/697,013	10/25/2000		Vincent P. Stanton JR.	030586.0015.UTL1	4545	
26161	7590	08/26/2003				
FISH & RI		SON PC	EXAMINER			
225 FRANK BOSTON, N)		MYERS, CARLA J		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1634		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.		Applicant(s)					
	09/697,013		STANTON, VINCENT P.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	V () .				
,	Carla Myers		1634					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app				lress				
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 /	<i>May 2003</i> .							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-fir	nal.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allows				merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims		1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> is/are pending in the application								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> are subject to restriction and/or of	election requireme	ent.						
Application Papers	_							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been recei	ved						
Certified copies of the priority document			n No					
				Stage				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲		PTO-413) Paper No(s atent Application (PTO					

Application/Control Number: 09/697,013 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

Election/Restrictions

1. Acknowledgement is made of Applicants election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-25, in the response of May 28, 2003. However, upon further reconsideration, the previous restriction requirement is withdrawn and a new restriction requirement is set forth below.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
- I. Claims 1-16 are drawn to methods for determining an ApoE genotype, classified in Class 435, subclass 6.
- II. Claims 17-25, drawn to methods for determining a haplotype for ApoE in an individual, classified in Class 435, subclass 6.
- III. Claim 26 is drawn to methods for classifying ApoE haplotypes, classified in Class 435, subclass 6.
- IV. Claims 27-40 are drawn to methods for determining risk of disease, classified in Class 435, subclass 6.
- V. Claims 41-49 are drawn to methods for selecting treatment for a disease, classified in Class 435, subclass 6.
- VI. Claims 50-51 are drawn to methods for determining whether a biological sample is from an individual, classified in Class 435, subclass 6.
- VII. Claims 52-55 are drawn to methods for determining whether an ApoE haplotype is associated with a disease, classified in Class 435, subclass 6.
- VIII. Claim 56 is drawn to methods for determining whether an ApoE haplotype is associated with a pharmacological parameter, classified in Class 435, subclass 6.

Art Unit: 1634

3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Page 3

Inventions I-VIII are drawn to patentably distinct methods which involve different method steps, include different reagents and have different objectives. In particular, the methods of invention I are inclusive of methods for determining the sequence of the ApoE gene to accomplish the objective of determining the genotype of an individual. The method of invention II requires examining at least two polymorphic sites of the ApoE sequence and identifying the presence of a haplotype, such that the method requires detecting the combination of particular polymorphic sites wherein the combination of polymorphic sites constitute a haploytpe. The methods of invention III require determining ApoE haplotypes for a plurality of individuals and determining the sequence similarity of the haplotypes to accomplish the objective of classifying ApoE haplotypes based on sequence similarities. The methods of invention IV involve determining the ApoE haplotype of an individual and determining whether that individual is at an increased risk of developing or having a disease such as coronary heart disease or Alzheimer's disease. The methods of invention V involve determining the ApoE haplotype of an individual suffering from a disease, determining an ApoE haplotype associated with a favorable clinical prognosis with a particular therapy and selecting a therapy or administering a therapy based on the ApoE haplotype. The methods of invention VI require determining the nucleotide sequence at a plurality of ApoE polymorphic sites in a test DNA sample and in a DNA sample from a patient and determining whether the nucleotides at the polymorphic site are the same or different in order to accomplish the objective of determining whether a test biological sample was

Page 4

Art Unit: 1634

from said individual. The methods of invention VII require determining ApoE haplotypes for each individual in a set of individuals, dividing the individuals in the sets into 2 or more groups based on the ApoE haplotypes and determining whether the individuals in the groups differ with respect to incidence, prevalence, severity or progression of disease. The methods of invention VIII require measuring a pharmacological parameter of cells for at least one individual with an ApoE haplotype, measuring the same pharmacological parameter in cells from a different individual and comparing the resulting measurements in order to accomplish the objective of determining whether an ApoE haplotype is associated with a pharmacological parameter. The methods of invention I-VIII are novel and unobvious over each other.

4. Requirement to Elect a Polymorphic Site or Combination of Polymorphic Sites

In addition, with respect to group I, Applicant is required to elect a single polymorphism selected from the group of polymorphisms set forth in claim 2. With respect to group II, Applicant is required to elect a single set of polymorphisms selected from the group of polymorphisms set forth in claim 19. Each of the polymorphisms set forth in the claims is considered to be distinct from one another, since each nucleotide variation occurs at a distinct location within the ApoE gene, each polymorphism has a distinct structural identity and each polymorphism has a distinct effect on the function of the ApoE gene and the protein encoded thereby. Furthermore, each combination of polymorphisms is distinct from one another in that particular combinations of polymorphisms are associated with distinct functions of the ApoE gene and are associated with risk of distinct diseases. For example, a polymorphism at position

16541 of the ApoE gene, would not render a polymorphism at position 16747 of the ApoE gene obvious. Accordingly, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, each polymorphism is presumed to represent an independent and distinct invention, subject to a restriction requirement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 121 and 37 CFR 1.14. It is noted that the claims that are drawn generically to detecting any ApoE polymorphism or any combination of ApoE polymorphisms will be examined for their entire scope, i.e. as including any variation in the ApoE nucleic acid. However, the claims which recite specific polymorphic sites, will be examined for only the elected polymorphic site or combination of polymorphic sites.

Applicant is advised that this is a restriction requirement and should **not** be construed as an election of species.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a different status in the art as demonstrated by their recognized divergent subject matter and because inventions I-VII require different searches that are not coextensive, examination of these distinct inventions would pose a serious burden on the examiner and therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carla Myers whose telephone number is (703) 308-2199. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM-5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/697,013 Page 6

Art Unit: 1634

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion, can be reached on (703)-308-1119. Papers related to this application may be faxed to Group 1634 via the PTO Fax Center using the fax number (703)-872-9306 or (703)-872-9307 (after final).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Carla Myers August 21, 2003

CARLA J. MYERS PRIMARY EXAMINER

GARY BENZION, (H)D IPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600